



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/599,278	07/09/2008	Georg Schafer	ICB0276	6439		
24203	7590	09/04/2008	EXAMINER			
GRIFFIN & SZIPL, PC SUITE PH-1 2300 NINTH STREET, SOUTH ARLINGTON, VA 22204				PHAN, THANH S		
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER				
2833						
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE				
09/04/2008		PAPER				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/599,278	SCHAFER, GEORG
	Examiner	Art Unit
	THANH S. PHAN	2833

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 September 2006.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 10-18 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 10,11 and 14-18 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/25/06.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 10, 11, 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kitai et al. [US 3,762,159].

Regarding claim 10, Kitai discloses a control device for a timepiece for activating a first mechanism, the timepiece comprising a case [front plate 11 and the other sides of the housing as shown in the figures] delimiting a volume, the device including: a control lever [33; figure 2] located outside the volume of the case and able to be actuated by a user; and an actuating lever [15; figure 2] located inside the volume of the case and cooperating with the first mechanism, wherein the control lever and the actuating lever are connected to each other via a rotating connecting mechanism [pivot pin 32] able to rotate about a general axis of symmetry, wherein the control lever and the actuating lever extend in two distinct planes.

Regarding claim 11, Kitai discloses wherein the control lever and the actuating lever are rigidly connected to each other by means of a stem [portion between 33 and 15 as shown in figure 2].

Regarding claim 15, Kitai discloses wherein the actuating lever cooperates with a corrector lever [37] that is meshed with the first mechanism [20 and 25], and the first mechanism is an indicator mechanism [column 3, line 14].

Regarding claim 16, Kitai discloses wherein the corrector lever exerts an elastic return force on the actuating lever [as illustrated in figure 5].

Regarding claim 17, Kitai discloses wherein the control lever is embedded in a hollow arranged in a horn of the case [as shown in figures 1 and 2].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitai in view of Eray [US 5,751,668].

Regarding claim 14, Kitai discloses the claimed invention except for the stem has a groove that houses a sealing gasket.

Eray discloses a push button comprising a stem [10] comprises a groove [12] intended to receive an O-ring sealing gasket [30].

Since Kitai and Eray are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Eray would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Kitai.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate a sealing gasket as taught by Eray with that of Kitai for the predictable purpose of sealing the stem.

5. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitai.

Regarding claim 18, Kitai discloses the claimed invention except for the explicit orientation of the axis of symmetry extends perpendicularly or parallel to a mid-plane in which there extends a movement of a timepiece. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have the axis of symmetry extends perpendicularly or parallel to a mid-plane in which there extends a movement of a timepiece for the predictable result of minimizing the thickness of the device, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 12, 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: there is not similar prior art or any combination of prior arts to discloses a “stem is provided with two male squares that are engaged in two square female holes provided in the control lever and the actuating lever”.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Meyrat et al. [US 6,315,443]; Bovin et al. [US 7,293,911]; Kitai [US 3780,525] and Graemiger [US 2001/0046187] disclose similar inventive structural/method limitations as the claimed invention.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to THANH S. PHAN whose telephone number is (571)272-2109. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Renee Luebke can be reached on 571-272-2009. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thanh S. Phan
AU 2833

/Edwin A. León/
Primary Examiner
AU 2833
for Thanh S. Phan, Examiner of Art Unit 2833